

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/609,412	07/01/2003	John D. Coleman	066561.0103	9455
24735	7590 06/29/2004		EXAM	INER
BAKER BOTTS LLP C/O INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT THE WARNER, SUITE 1300			ESTREMSKY, GARY WAYNE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1299 PENNSYLVANIA AVE, NW			3676	
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20004-2400		DATE MAILED: 06/29/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		91
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/609,412	COLEMAN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gary Estremsky	3676
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rent. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON that the cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on (06 April 2004.	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applicated 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 and 18-20 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 13-17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and subject to restriction	ndrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to b	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to	·	• •
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co		, ,
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for form a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in Appriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date) Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/609,412

Art Unit: 3676

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 13-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. Structure including at least the 'detent spring (9)' is critical or essential to the practice of the invention <u>as claimed</u> (ie, providing an audible sound or click'), but is not included in the claim(s) is not enabled by the disclosure. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976).
- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 13-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

One of ordinary skill in the art could not reasonably, clearly interpret the scope of claims having the 'audible' limitation but not otherwise including the structure that has been disclosed for performing the function. It is not clear what the invention is/includes. The limitation is not in a form where it can be

Application/Control Number: 10/609,412

Art Unit: 3676

interpreted as in accordance with 35 USC 112, 6th paragraph. See MPEP 2181-2185. While *method steps* define a *process of using* invention, it should be noted that a single claim for *product* and *process of using* is indefinite. See MPEP 2173.05(p) section II. Otherwise see MPEP 2114 as regards interpretation of functional language in a product claim.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 1-12, and 18-20 are allowed.
- 4. Due to the nature of rejections made under 35 USC 112, claims 13-17 cannot be indicated as containing allowable subject matter.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory

Art Unit: 3676

period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary Estremsky whose telephone number is 703 308-0494. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thur 7:30-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Will can be reached on 703 308-3870. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Øary Estremsky Primary Examiner